Sequential Determination of the {1, 4}-Inverse of a Matrix

F. E. UDWADIA¹ AND R. E. KALABA²

Abstract. In this paper, we provide a set of results for the sequential determination of the $\{1, 4\}$ -generalized inverse of a matrix. This inverse is of importance in areas where the minimal norm solution of a system of algebraic equations is desired.

Key Words. Generalized inverses, sequential determination, addition of block-column matrices, $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse.

1. Introduction

The $\{1, 4\}$ -generalized inverse of a matrix has come into considerable prominence in recent years because it appears in a significant manner in analytical dynamics and in other areas of application such as tomography. In analytical dynamics, it plays a crucial role in the equations of motion that describe mechanical systems that have holonomic and nonholonomic constraints, which may be ideal or nonideal.

Given an *m* by *n* matrix *B* and the consistent linear set of equations Bx = b, the $\{1, 4\}$ inverse $B^{\{1, 4\}}$ gives the shortest length solution

$$x = B^{\{1, 4\}}b.$$

Such problems, which require the shortest length solution corresponding to a linear set of equations, are commonly encountered in solving a variety of inverse problems from given observational data. Often, as more and more data are collected, the matrix B increases in size; hence, a sequential determination of $B^{\{1,4\}}$ becomes an important issue. This paper addresses that issue.

¹Professor of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Mathematics, and Information and Operations Management, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.

²Professor of Biomedical Engineering and Electrical Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.

2. Sequential Determination of $B^{\{1,4\}}$

Consider the matrix B = [A, a], where A is an m by r matrix and a is an m by p block that is appended to it. We shall prove the main result in three steps.

Result 2.1. Given the matrix B = [A, a] as above,

$$B^{\{1,4\}} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\{1,2,4\}}(I-aV) \\ V \end{bmatrix},$$
(1)

where

$$V = Q^{\{1,2,4\}} (Ra)^T R + (I + Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T A^{\{1,2,4\}} [I - a Q^{\{1,2,4\}} (Ra)^T R],$$
(2a)

$$R = I - AA^{\{1, 2, 4\}}, \qquad Q = (Ra)^T Ra, \qquad F = I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}Q,$$
 (2b)

and

$$Z = A^{\{1, 2, 4\}} aF.$$
 (2c)

Proof. The {1, 4}-inverse provides the unique minimum length solution $x = B^{\{1,4\}}b$ of the consistent equation set

$$Bx = [A, a] \begin{bmatrix} z \\ s \end{bmatrix} = b,$$

where we have partitioned the vector x into an r-vector z and a p-vector s. For any fixed s_o that satisfies the equation

$$Az + as_0 = b,$$

we express the equation Bx = b as

$$Az = (b - as_o),\tag{3}$$

whose solution is

$$\hat{z}(s_0) = A^{\{1,2,4\}}(b - as_0) + [I - A^{\{1,2,4\}}A] u,$$
(4)

for some arbitrary vector u and any $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverse of the m by r matrix A; see Ref. 2. The two vectors on the right-hand side of equation (4) are orthogonal to each other because

$$[I - A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}A]^T A^{\{1, 2, 4\}} = [I - A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}A] A^{\{1, 2, 4\}} = 0.$$

Here, we have used the $\{4\}$ -property of $A^{\{1,2,4\}}$. Using equation (4) in equation (3), we obtain

$$Ras_0 = Rb, \tag{5}$$

where we have denoted

$$R = I - AA^{\{1, 2, 4\}}.$$

We note that the matrix R is not, in general, a symmetric matrix. Now, after premultiplying both sides by $(Ra)^T$, the solution of equation (5) is

$$\hat{s}_{0}(w) = Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}} (Ra)^{T} Rb + (I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}} Q)w$$
$$= Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}} (Ra)^{T} Rb + Fw,$$
(6)

where the vector w is an arbitrary p-vector,

$$Q = (Ra)^{T}(Ra)$$
 and $F = I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}Q$.

Again, the two vectors on the right-hand side of the last equality in (6) are orthogonal to each other. Furthermore, because of the $\{4\}$ -property of $Q^{\{1,2,4\}}$,

$$F^{T} = (I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}Q)^{T}$$

= $I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}Q$
= F ,

so that F is symmetric as well as idempotent and

$$F^{T}F = F^{2}$$

= F. (7)

We need to find the vectors u and w so that the length

$$K(u, w) = \hat{z}^{T}(s_{0}(w), u) \,\hat{z}(s_{0}(w), u) + \hat{s}_{0}^{T}(w) \,\hat{s}_{0}(w)$$
(8)

is a minimum. Using equations (4) and (6), we see that the relation (8) becomes

$$K(u, w) = ||A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}[b - a \{ Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}(Ra)^{T}Rb + Fw \}]||_{2}^{2} + ||(I - A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}A) u||_{2}^{2} + ||Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}(Ra)^{T}Rb||_{2}^{2} + ||Fw||_{2}^{2}.$$
(9)

The minimum of (9) with respect to the vector u is obtained obviously when

$$||[I - A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}A]u||_2^2 = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\tilde{K}(w) = ||A^{\{1,2,4\}}[b - a\{Q^{\{1,2,4\}}(Ra)^T Rb + Fw\}]||_2^2 + ||Q^{\{1,2,4\}}(Ra)^T Rb||_2^2 + ||Fw||_2^2,$$
(10)

which needs to be minimized with respect to the vector w. Taking the derivative of the left-hand side with respect to w and setting it to zero yields

$$(Z^{T}Z + F^{T}F)w = Z^{T}A^{\{1,2,4\}}[b - a Q^{\{1,2,4\}}(Ra)^{T}Rb],$$
(11)

where we have denoted

$$Z = A^{\{1, 2, 4\}} aF.$$

Using the relations in (7), the left-hand side of equation (11) can be simplified further to give

$$[Z^{T}(A^{\{1,2,4\}}aF) + F^{T}F] w = [Z^{T}(A^{\{1,2,4\}}aF)F + F] w$$
$$= (Z^{T}Z + I) Fw.$$
(12)

Using this on the left-hand side of equation (11) gives

$$[Z^{T}Z+I]Fw = Z^{T}A^{\{1,2,4\}}(b-aQ^{\{1,2,4\}}(Ra)^{T}Rb].$$
(13)

Since the matrix $Z^T Z + I$ is positive definite, equation (13) can be solved for *Fw*, which gives

$$Fw = [Z^{T}Z + I]^{-1}Z^{T}A^{\{1,2,4\}}(b - aQ^{\{1,2,4\}}(Ra)^{T}Rb].$$
(14)

Using this expression for Fw in equation (6), we obtain

$$\hat{s}_0 = Q^{\{1,2,4\}} (Ra)^T Rb + (I + Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T A^{\{1,2,4\}} [I - a Q^{\{1,2,4\}} (Ra)^T R] b$$

= Vb, (15)

and hence,

$$\hat{z}(s_0) = A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}(b - as_0)$$

= $A^{\{1, 2, 4\}}(I - aV) b,$ (16)

which proves our result.

Next we establish the connection between any chosen $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of any given matrix *H* and a $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverse of *H*.

Result 2.2. Given any m by n matrix H, and given any chosen $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of the matrix H, a $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverse of H is given by

$$H^{\{1,2,4\}} = H^{\{1,4\}} H H^{\{1,4\}}.$$
(17)

Proof. We prove that $H^{\{1,2,4\}}$ as defined in (17) satisfies the $\{1\}$, $\{2\}$, and $\{4\}$ Moore-Penrose properties of generalized inverses (see Ref. 2).

(i) The {1}-property is satisfied because

$$HH^{\{1,2,4\}}H = HH^{\{1,4\}}[HH^{\{1,4\}}H]$$

 $= HH^{\{1,4\}}[H]$
 $= H.$ (18)

(ii) The {2}-property is satisfied because

$$H^{\{1,2,4\}}HH^{\{1,2,4\}} = H^{\{1,4\}}[HH^{\{1,4\}}H] H^{\{1,4\}}HH^{\{1,4\}}$$

$$= H^{\{1,4\}}[H] H^{\{1,4\}}HH^{\{1,4\}}$$

$$= H^{\{1,4\}}[HH^{\{1,4\}}H] H^{\{1,4\}}$$

$$= H^{\{1,4\}}[H] H^{\{1,4\}}$$

$$= H^{\{1,2,4\}}.$$
(19)

(iii) The {4}-property is satisfied because

$$[H^{\{1,2,4\}}H]^{T} = [H^{\{1,4\}}HH^{\{1,4\}}H]^{T}$$

= $[H^{\{1,4\}}H]^{T}[H^{\{1,4\}}H]^{T}$
= $[H^{\{1,4\}}H][H^{\{1,4\}}H]$
= $[H^{\{1,4\}}HH^{\{1,4\}}]H$
= $H^{\{1,2,4\}}H.$ (20)

The above two results now enable us to obtain a formula for the sequential determination of the $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of the augmented matrix *B* in terms of any chosen $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of the matrix *A*. We state our final result as follows.

Result 2.3. First Main Result. Given the augmented matrix B = [A, a], where A is m by r and a is m by p,

$$B^{\{1,4\}} = \begin{bmatrix} A^*(I-aV) \\ V \end{bmatrix},\tag{21}$$

where

$$V = Q^{*}(Ra)^{T}R + [I + Z^{T}Z]^{-1}Z^{T}A^{*}[I - aQ^{*}(Ra)^{T}R], \qquad (22a)$$

$$R = I - AA^*, \qquad Q = (Ra)^T Ra, \qquad F = I - Q^*Q, \qquad Z = A^*aF,$$
 (22b)

and

$$A^* = A^{\{1,4\}} A A^{\{1,4\}}, \quad \text{with} \quad Q^* = Q^{\{1,4\}} Q Q^{\{1,4\}}.$$
(22c)

Proof. Using Result 2.1 and Result 2.2, this formula follows. \Box

To any particular $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of *B* found using the result above, one can add any matrix *P* such that PB = 0. The sum of the particular $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse and the matrix *P* now yields a new $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of *B*.

Result 2.4. Second Main Result. An alternative formula for $B^{\{1,4\}}$ is

$$B^{\{1,4\}} = \begin{bmatrix} A^*(I-aV) \\ V \end{bmatrix},$$
(23)

where

$$V = Q^*R + (I + Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T A^* [I - a Q^* R],$$
(24a)

$$R = I - AA^*, \quad Q = Ra, \quad F = I - Q^*Q, \quad Z = A^*aF,$$
 (24b)

and

$$A^* = A^{\{1,4\}} A A^{\{1,4\}}, \quad \text{with } Q^* = Q^{\{1,4\}} Q Q^{\{1,4\}}.$$
(24c)

Proof. Here, we simply solve equation (5) without premultiplication by $(Ra)^{T}$ as

$$\hat{s}_{0}(w) = Q^{\{1,2,4\}} Rb + (I - Q^{\{1,2,4\}}Q)w$$

= $Q^{\{1,2,4\}} Rb + Fw,$ (25)

where Q = Ra and as before

 $F = I - Q^{\{1, 2, 4\}}Q.$

Following exactly the same steps as given in the proofs of Results 2.1 and 2.2, the above formula is obtained. $\hfill \Box$

Remark 2.1. If an *m* by *n* matrix *A* has rank *m*, then $A^{\{1,2,4\}} = A^{\{1,4\}} A A^{\{1,4\}}$ $= A^{\{1,4\}}$ $= A^{\{1,2,3,4\}}.$

This result follows from the general forms of the $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ -inverse, the $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverse, and the $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse (see Ref. 2) when the rank of the *m* by *n* matrix *A* is *m*.

An alternative way of looking at it is as follows. When the rank of A is m, the right-hand vector b in the relation Ax = b must lie in the range space of A. The unique minimum length least-squares solution given by

$$x = A^{\{1,2,3,4\}}b$$

must then be identical to the minimum length solution given by

$$x = A^{\{1, 4\}}b,$$

for every vector b. Hence, the result follows.

Remark 2.2. Our first main result modifies the formula for $A^{\{1,4\}}$ given in Ref. 1, which is valid when the *m* by *n* matrix *A* has rank *m* (Ref. 3).

Remark 2.3. When the rank of the *m* by *n* matrix *A* is *m*, A^* and Q^* in the two main results above can be taken to be simply $A^{\{1,4\}}$ and $Q^{\{1,4\}}$ respectively. This follows directly from Remark 2.1, since a $\{1,4\}$ -inverse is also now a $\{1,2,4\}$ -inverse in that case.

Remark 2.4. From a computational standpoint, the formula for the sequential determination of $B^{\{1,4\}}$ given in equations (23)–(24) appears superior to that given in equations (21)–(22) (and also superior to that in Ref. 1).

3. Conclusions

The $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse plays an important role in applied mathematics and mechanics. This paper provides a sequential approach for obtaining it.

References

- 1. UDWADIA, F. E., and KALABA, R. E., *General Forms for the Recursive Determi*nation of Generalized Inverses: A Unified Approach, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 101, pp. 509–521, 1999.
- 2. UDWADIA, F. E., and KALABA, R. E., *Analytical Dynamics: A New Approach*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, pp. 62–64, 1996.
- WANG, G., and ZHENG, B., *Personal Communication*, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, PRC, 2002.